



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,680	02/11/2004	Charles F. Irwin	103-2	1131
7590 10/13/2011 DILWORTH IP, LLC 2 CORPORATE DRIVE SUITE 206 TRUMBULL, CT 06611				
EXAMINER HENRY, RODNEY M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3682				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/13/2011		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/775,680		IRWIN, CHARLES F.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	RODNEY HENRY		3682	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RODNEY HENRY. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) FREDERICK SPAETH. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 October 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others  
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 69-75.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

**Substance of Interview**  
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The Examiner called to inform the Applicant of the possibility of adding claim 70 to independent claim 69, as well as similar changes to claims 72 and 73. The Examiner believes that by making the partner agreements specific to the shipping, trucking, carrier industry, the invention may be in better position to be differentiated from other forms of marketplace partner agreements and transactions. The Applicant agreed to respond to the Examiner with possible amendments and or decision on this matter.

**Applicant recodation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recodation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Arthur Duran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3682	
---	--